## O7cqshaMS.txt

1

```
07cqshaMS
       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 1
       SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2233445566778899
       SHAW FAMILY ARCHIVES, LTD.,
       et al.,
                            Plaintiffs,
                                                             05 civ. 3939(KMK)(MDF)
                      ٧.
       CMG WORLDWIDE, INC., ET AL.,
                            Defendants.
                                                             White Plains, N.Y.
                                                             December 26, 2007
10
10
11
11
12
       Before:
                                 THE HONORABLE MARK D. FOX,
12
                                                        Magistrate Judge
13
14
                                           APPEARANCES
14
15
15
       LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER SERBAGI Attorneys for Plaintiffs
        CHRISTOPHER SERBAGI
16
17
17
        LOEB & LOEB
18
18
19
              Attorneys for Defendant Marilyn Monroe, LLC
        BARRY I. SLOTNICK
JONATHAN STRAUSS
19
20
20
21
21
22
22
23
24
        SOVICH, MINCH
               Attorneys for Defendant CMG Worldwide, Inc.
        CHRISTINE SOVICH (via telephone)
        Proceedings recorded by
24
        electronic sound recording.
25
                               MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                             2
        07cqshaMS
        THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is the matter of Shaw Family against CMG Worldwide, et al.
                    Counsel, please state your names for the record.
MR. SLOTNICK: Barry Slotnick for MM, LLC.
MS. SOVICH: Christine Sovich --
THE COURT: Just a moment, please.
  4
5
6
7
                    Go ahead.
  8
                    MR. SLOTNICK: Barry Slotnick for MM, LLC, along with
        Jonathan Strauss.
```

Page 1

```
07cqshams.txt
                         MR. SERBAGI: Good morning, your Honor.
                         Christopher Serbagi for Shaw Family Archives. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11
12
13
                          (Pause)
          THE COURT: Okay. Now, somebody is on the phone representing or on behalf of Mr. Minch, I believe.

Is that right?
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                          MS. SOVICH: Yes, that is correct.
          THE COURT: All right. You'll be permitted to listen in, but you can't argue. I don't take argument over the phone.

All right. Now, I have before me a whole series of
          letter briefs, and the record should reflect each --
Mr. Serbagi, I guess, handed me about an inch and a half of
paper, or handed it to my Clerk, about ten minutes ago, which I
have not read. I've read the letters, but not the attachments.

The discovery cutoff on this case is December 31st.
25
                                        MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                       3
          07cqshaMS
                          The issue that is before the Court --
And I say that, Mr. Serbagi, so that if there is
  123456789
          anything in those attachments that you think is relevant, you can mention it in your argument.
                          All right. The issue before the Court started in
          Ms. Colbath's December 7th letter. And then there was a
          December 10th letter from Mr. Serbagi. And then there was a December 20th letter from Mr. Strauss. And then there were the
          two letters delivered this morning from Mr. Serbagi.
So, Mr. Slotnick, you can let me know what your
position is. I'll take oral argument, and then I'm prepared to
 10
 11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
           make a ruling on this.
                          Go ahead, please.
MR. SLOTNICK: Thank you, your Honor.
Before we get to the "he said she said" about whose
           deposition should be taken when, I think we really need to talk
           more about more basic issue in this case.
                           There has been a characterization of our third amended
           complaint as being restricted to certain photographs, the
           Risoli book and the Ballantine book.

The Risoli book has already been declared by the --
 20
 21
22
23
24
           THE COURT: You pointed that out in your letter.
MR. SLOTNICK: Okay. Absent a showing of ownership of some copyright interest in a photograph of Marilyn Monroe by
           the Shaw Family, they have no standing to seek any ruling with
                                        MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
           07cqshams
           respect to Marilyn Monroe's domicile.

The only -- the issue in this case from the beginning is: Who has the rights to utilize Marilyn Monroe's name and
 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
            likeness?
                           Judge McMahon has ruled in a way that we respectfully
           you know, aren't happy with, of course, that there was no right of publicity in Marilyn Monroe that MM, LLC currently has,
            subject to a determination of domicile.
            The only basis for the Shaw Family to be able to engage in the Marilyn Monroe business is owning copyrights in
 11
            photographs that Mr. Shaw took.
                           They are unwilling, and have refused so far, to
 12
            identify one single photograph in the Marilyn Monroe collection that they actually own. And if they don't own that, they have
 13
```

Page 2

4

```
07cqshaMS.txt
           no basis for challenging our right of publicity.
           So, you know, this more Seinfeldian that Morovian. This may well be a case about nothing.
16
17
                            Absent a showing that they own something -- and that's
18
           what we've been asking for -- they have no standing to
19
           challenge our right to Marilyn Monroe's right of publicity.
20
21
22
23
24
           And that's what the case is about, your Honor.
           MR. SERBAGI: Good morning, your Honor.
Your Honor, as an initial matter, that's the very
first time they put forth that argument to the Court or to me.
It's not in any of the papers.
MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
25
                                                                                                                                  5
           07cqshaMS
                            All the other arguments are on the papers, your Honor.
  1
           And since Mr. Slotnick didn't address them, I'll just address
 23456789
           the point that he raised.
           The standing issue is very simple. As Judge McMahon has acknowledged, on the defendants' counsel's behalf, California has recently passed a statute which purports to provide a retroactive right of publicity on Marilyn Monroe.

The defendants are also arguing that Marilyn Monroe was a California domiciliary, which, according to their
           position, would put that statute front and center in this case
10
            and potentially hold our clients liable under that statute.
11
           That's the standing issue, your Honor. They've claimed a violation of the right to publicity. If the
12
13
<u>14</u>
            California statute is valid, as they say it is, then that's the
15
            standard.
           THE COURT: It's before me right now. What's before me right now, according to these letters, would be governed by the scope of the pleading, one side claiming that it's limited to the Balantine and Risoli books, the other side claiming
16
17
19
20
21
22
            that it's particular photographs.
So why doesn't somebody show me the pleading and show
           me where you think it goes your way. I have a copy of the third amended complaint in front of me.

MR. SERBAGI: Your Honor, I'll address that, since I'm
 23
 24
 25
            already standing.
                                            MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                                   6
            07cqshams
                             THE COURT: All right.
            MR. SERBAGI: With the Court's permission, if you look at the third amended complaint -- I'm pulling that out, your
   2
3
           Honor -- there is absolutely nothing in this third amended complaint. This is a pure fishing expedition. They don't request that -- they don't ask --

THE COURT: I don't care whether it's a fishing expedition or not. The question is whether they pled it.

MR. SERBAGI: No, they didn't.

THE COURT: That's what I'm asking.

MR. SERBAGI: All they pled -- excuse me. your Hore.
   4
5
6
7
8
   9
 10
 11
                             MR. SERBAGI: All they pled -- excuse me, your Honor.
 12
13
            I'm sorry.
                             All they pled in the complaint is that the Risoli and
            Ballantine books are in the public domain.

If the Court turns to Paragraph 23 on Page 5, that's where they identify that the Risoli book is in the public
 14
 15
 16
17
             domain.
                              THE COURT: Just one moment.
 18
```

19

Page 3

(Pause)

23

24

```
07cqshaMS.txt
                              THE COURT: All right.
                              MR. SERBAGI: And then on Paragraph 25 -- excuse me.
\bar{2}
           That's not it.
22
           THE COURT: 25 mentions the Ballantine book.
MR. SERBAGI: Yes, 25. Those are the only two
paragraphs where they request -- where they identify any book
23
24
25
                                              MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                                            7
            07cqshaMS
            that's in the public domain.
  1
2
3
                              If the Court turns to the prayer for relief, which is
            where they ask the Court to declare that a particular work is
           in the public domain, on Page 8, all they identify is the Risoli book as being in the public domain.

THE COURT: They say -- the prayer for relieve reads under A for declaratory judgment that "In addition to the Risoli works, defendants do not possess a valid -- do not possess valid and enforceable copyrights in those photographs that compromise the Monroe/Shaw photographs and/or the Shaw
  456789
           that compromise the Monroe/Shaw photographs and/or the Shaw collection that were either published prior to January 1, 1964, where no copyright renewals were obtained during the final year of the initial 28-year copyright term for such photographs; or B. published prior to March 1, 1989, without the requisite copyright notice."
10
12
13
14
15
            MR. SERBAGI: That's correct, but all they identify in there is one book. If that argument were a bridge to get -- if
16
17
            that argument were acceptable to look at our entire collection,
18
            there would be no end to the discovery, your Honor. They'd be able to analyze every one of our books and every one of our photographs. There is no basis for that. All they've -- all they're asking the Court to declare is that the particular Risoli and Ballantine is in the public domain. They have no
19
20
23
            basis to say anything else is in the public domain. So --
THE COURT: That's not what it says. It says "in
25
                                               MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                                            8
            07cqshaMS
            addition to the Risoli works."
                               MR. SERBAGI: I know that it says that, your Honor,
  \bar{2}
            but they're not entitled to view our entire collection, just because they say "in addition." That's just language so that
  3
            they can get to everything. You know --
THE COURT: Okay. I understand your position.
  6
7
                               Go ahead, please.
            MR. SLOTNICK: Your Honor, this is a bit of a shell game. They say we're not entitled to ask about any other photographs, but they haven't identified any other photographs, so we don't know what they are. We asked specifically, even
   8
   9
 10
 11
            with respect to those photographs, whether the photographs in
the book had been -- in two books, had ever been published
 12
 13
 14
            before, had ever been registered before. And the witnesses --
 15
            the witness will not answer questions regarding even those
 16
            photographs. But that --
 17
                               THE COURT: Let me just say this to everybody before
 18
            we go any further.
            Under the Southern District rules, directing a witness not to answer is sanctionable conduct, unless it falls within one of the exceptions in Rule 30. If it happens again, there
 19
 20
 21
22
            will be sanctions. That's point one.

Point two is, I'm going to hear what everybody has to
```

say on this, and then I'm going to make rulings. And any

Page 4

07cgshams.txt discovery that I order is going to take place today, tomorrow MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027 25 9 07cqshams December 31st is the cutoff. Judge McMahon has 1 and Friday. made clear she's not extending it. So go ahead, please.

MR. SLOTNICK: Your Honor, what we want to see are the copyright registrations and the other documents that we've requested regarding the Marilyn Monroe collection. The one piece of information that we did get out of Shaw's 30(b)(6) was that there may be two binders of registrations dealing with the Marilyn Monroe photographs. While that is not insignificant, it's certainly not voluminous. If those documents are produced to us today, we're prepared to take a denosition tomorrow or 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 to us today, we're prepared to take a deposition tomorrow or Friday. THE COURT: Mr. Serbagi, let me ask you. Any attempt to narrow down the complaint is -- any opportunity to do that is long gone. I'm dealing with the pleadings as they now 13 14 15 16 17 exist. what specific photographs are your clients claiming that they have an interest in? Because that's what we're --18 that they have an interest in? Because that's what we're -that's what this is going to be narrowed down to. So counsel
says there's a folder of photographs. You haven't indicated to
me what photographs. Certainly, the pleadings go beyond the
Risoli and the Ballantine book. So are you in a position to
tell me now what specific photographs you're claiming?

MR. SERBAGI: Your Honor, there's over 3,000
photographs in that collection. We're not asserting any of

MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR

(914) 390-4027 19 20 21 22 23

07cqshaMS

1234567

89

10

11 12 13

24

them in this case against these parties.

THE COURT: I'm asking you what claims you're making. MR. SERBAGI: What claims are we making? I'm sorry.

I don't understand the question.

THE COURT: Claims as to which photographs -- which particular photographs fall within the scope of your claims? That's what I'm asking you.

MR. SERBAGI: It's a difficult question to answer,

your Honor, because the scope of our claim has nothing to do with the photographs that we have in our collection. absolutely nothing to do with anything. All we're requesting -- the only claim we have is a request that the Court declare that Marilyn Monroe died a New York domiciliary. That's the only claim we have.

So in a sense, none of them. Because we're not asserting any of the photographs in this case against these clients.

THE COURT: Okay. I think I understand your posit what are you talking about when you say there is a I think I understand your position. folder of photographs, Mr. Slotnick?

MR. SLOTNICK: The Shaw witness identified two binders as being those -- as encompassing those documents which have copyright registration certificates for the Marilyn Monroe photographs.

THE COURT: Which Shaw witness? MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027

11

```
O7cqshaMS.txt
                                          The 30(b)(6), Melissa Stevens.
                     MR. SLOTNICK:
                     THE COURT: And how specifically did she identify
 2
3
                what language did she use?
        them?
                     MR. SLOTNICK: I don't have the specific language.
 456789
        She said that there were two --
                     THE COURT: Doesn't help me.
                     MR. SLOTNICK: Okay. She said there were two binders
        which contained copyright registration certificates. She said
        there were at least two binders. I asked if those were all of
10
        the Shaw -
        THE COURT: Now, first, you said two binders. Now you're saying at least two binders. Look at the deposition
11
12
        transcript and tell me what she said.
13
14
15
16
17
                     MR. SLOTNICK: One moment. THE COURT: Yes. Take your time.
                      (Pause)
        (Pause)
MR. SLOTNICK: Okay. Your Honor, on Page 138,
"Generally speaking, SFA keeps binders of our files. I've seen
a binder with copyright registration-related documents."
Then I asked, "How many?"
She said, "I really don't know."
I said, "More than five?"
She said, "I'll say more than two, definitely."
I said, "Did you view these binders in preparation for
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
         today's deposition?
                                 MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
                                             (914) 390-4027
                                                                                                   12
        07cqshaMS
"Yes, I did."
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
                      I requested that she review the files and produce to
        us any other registrations that FSA -- SFA has with respect to Marilyn Monroe as the girl, or any other specific individual photographs with Marilyn Monroe.
                      And that's on Pages 138 through 141 of the deposition
         transcript.
                      (Pause)
                     MR. SERBAGI: May I make an additional point, your
         Honor?
                      THE COURT: I'm going to hear from you in just a
12
13
14
15
                      I want to read this.
         moment.
                      MR. SERBAGI: Certainly. I'm sorry.
                      (Pause)
                      THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, please.
16
17
                      MR. SERBAGI: Okay. Your Honor, there's a few points
         I'd like to make by way of an analogy.
                      If this were an infringement case, and they were
18
         arguing that we had infringed Product A, and in their complaint, they said on information and belief other products
19
20
         infringe, they certainly would not be entitled to discovery on all the products that we would have in our repertoire. That kind of argument, it would open up discovery in incredible
21
22
23
         ways.
25
                      Secondly, your Honor, we are not asserting any of
                                 MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
                                             (914) 390-4027
                                                                                                    13
         07cqshaMS
         these photographs in this case. This is not about our photographs. They have absolutely no standing to argue that
  2
3
         these photographs are in the public domain, anyway. The Court
         has already ruled that they don't have a right of publicity in
  4
  5
         Marilyn Monroe. And that's going to be the subject of summary
```

Page 6

```
07cqshaMS.txt
          judgment briefing, your Honor. They have no standing to argue that these are in the public domain, because the Court has already ruled they don't any rights to the photographs to begin
 6
7
 8
 9
          with.
                          So for them to say on information and belief other
10
          photographs are in the public domain, and then to get discovery
on every single photograph we have, which are thousands, would
11
12
          open up the floodgates, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, they're not talking about
13
14
          photographs here. What they're talking about is registration certificates. That's different.

MR. SERBAGI: Well, your Honor, it's photographs that are in the public domain, not the registrations. And so what
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
          they're trying to --
                           THE COURT: But the registrations would be at least
          indicative or at least they would be relevant to the issue of
          whether the photographs are in the public domain or not,
23
          wouldn't they?
                          MR. SERBAGI: That is true, your Honor. But based on
24
          their argument, they would open up the floodgates to things
MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                           14
          07cqshaMS
           they haven't even identified. This is pure fishing.
  1
          can't just say Risoli and Ballantine are in the public domain
          and get discovery on everything we have. I mean, that argument, as I say with the infringement issue, your Honor, if it were an infringement claim, that would be the same exact issue. If I were arguing that a pharmaceutical company's Drug A was -- patent was invalid, and on that argument, on information and belief, other patents are invalid, I'd get discovery an all their patents? It's just baseless.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?

MR. SLOTNICK: Yes one thing your Honor.
  456789
10
11
                           MR. SLOTNICK: Yes, one thing, your Honor.
12
                           First of all, they never made a motion to dismiss this
13
           claim or to limit it.
14
                           secondly, and most importantly, let me get back to
          what they are asserting against us, as well. They're asserting that they have certain rights to use the Marilyn Monroe image, and that we have -- we, the Marilyn Monroe estate and LLC -- have no ability to limit, restrict that right. And they are
15
16
17
18
           now seeking from us a determination of Marilyn Monroe's
19
           domicile to determine the right of publicity.
Well, if someone came off the street having no contact
20
21
22
           whatsoever with the Marilyn Monroe estate, they'd be thrown out
23
           of court if they were asked about domicile.
                           If the Shaw Estate does not own a copyright in a
24
           photograph of Marilyn Monroe, they are in the same position as MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                            15
           07cqshaMS
```

9

10

O7cqshaMS anybody off the street. If they want to be voyeurs of Marilyn Monroe's life, you know, we can address that, too. But that's not what this is about. We're in a court of law. They allegedly have some basis for being in this court of law. They originally did sue for copyright infringement. We would like to have some repose when this case is over. The parties really do needs to know -
THE COURT: You're only entitled to discovery on

what's left in the case.

MR. SLOTNICK: Your Honor, all we need -- if we see
Page 7

```
07cqshaMS.txt
           the registration certificates, that's going to go a long way, and obviously, at this stage of the game, all the way to
12
           determining what the rights are of both of the parties.
13
                           THE COURT: All right. Anything further?
MR. SERBAGI: One final point, your Honor.
It's absolutely false to say that we are asserting
14
15
17
           that we have rights to these images in this case anymore.
           That's not the issue. Those were the issues maybe to begin
18
          with when we were asserting various claims. Those issues are out of the case. It has nothing to do with this case whatsoever. They've claimed the two images are in the public domain. That's all they're entitled to discovery on, your Honor. This kind of -- this is blatant fishing expedition.
19
20
21
22
23
                            THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
                           I think it might be. However, I don't think I have to MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027
25
                                                                                                                                 16
           07cqshaMS
           get to that in order to decide this issue.

It's pretty plain, from the deposition testimony of Melissa Stevens, that, in preparation for her deposition, she looked at these binders, and she describes them in detail as
  123456789
           binders containing registration certificates.
                            Anything that she looked at in preparation for her
           deposition, counsel would be entitled to inspect.
           So I'm going to direct that the Melissa Stevens deposition be reconvened tomorrow at 12:00 noon.

The folders to which she referred in her deposition testimony will be made available to counsel for inspection.
11
12
13
           That doesn't mean copying. That means inspection, and
           inspection only. Starting at 8:00 a.m. tomorrow morning, and that will be at Mr. Serbagi's office, unless counsel agree to
14
15
           do it somewhere else.
                             (Pause)
17
                            THE COURT: And then that deposition will proceed
18
           starting at 12:00 noon. It will proceed for a maximum of four
           hours, which should be more than sufficient time.

Now, there is a second issue that was raised here regarding the scope of the 30(b)(6) deposition.

I have to tell you, gentlemen, I'm kind of perplexed in an '05 case why all this is happening within a week or two
19
20
\bar{2}\bar{1}
22
23
24
           of the close of discovery, but I'm not going to get into it.
                            You're claiming, Mr. Slotnick, that the witness who MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
25
                                                          (914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                                  17
           07cqshaMS
           was produced was not an appropriate 30(b)(6) deposition. You're claiming that she only worked for the company for two weeks. Counsel is telling me that she was involved with the
  123456789
           company for a long time. This is not a company that is apparently a Fortune 500 company. It's a small operation.
            In any event, the rules are pretty clear with reference to 30(b)(6) deposition. You have the transcript.
           Point out to me any place in the transcript where she was unable to provide information that was covered by the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice.
 10
 11
                             Does anybody have a copy of the 30(b)(6) notice?
 12
13
                             MR. SLOTNICK: I'm not sure (unintelligible), your
14
           Honor.
```

15

Page 8

(Pause)

```
07cqshams.txt
           MR. SERBAGI: Your Honor, the greater issue for us, really, was that she was limited to talking about two books.
16
17
          THE COURT: I'm not getting into what you think is the greater issue. I want to see the 30(b)(6) notice. We're way beyond the time we get into these philosophical discussions.
18
19
20
21
22
23
                            (Pause)
                           MR. SLOTNICK: Your Honor, we don't have a copy, but
           I'm looking through the transcript now.
24
25
                            (Pause)
                           THE COURT: Do you have a copy?
                                          MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                                18
           07cqshaMS
                            MR. SERBAGI: No, your Honor, I do not.
 123456789
          THE COURT: Well, gentlemen, without a copy of the 30(b)(6) notice, I'm really not in a position to give you anything on this. So what I would suggest is that you go out and make a phone call and find out if somebody in your offices can messenger a copy up here. If not, we'll reconvene at two o'clock this afternoon, when I'll see the 30(b)(6) notice, when you have a copy for me. Because that's what this is going to turn on. I want to see the scope of the notice. Then I want
                            (Pause)
           turn on. I want to see the scope of the notice. Then I want
10
           to see the transcript. And counsel can point out to me
11
           specifically where in the transcript it's indicated that this
12
           particular witness, Melissa Stevens, who apparently is the
13
           niece of one of the three principals, did not comply -- was not prepared to comply with the 30(b)(6) notice.
14
15
           The arguments that she only worked there for two weeks don't mean very much to me. That is absolutely not what a 30(b)(6) witness turns on. A 30(b)(6) witness can be anybody, as long as they are properly prepared to provide factual information on the issues which were raised in the notice.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
           So one of you gentlemen go out in the hall and use the phone if you have to, and see if you can get somebody to get a
            copy of the 30(b)(6) notice up here. And as soon as you get
24
25
            that information, you can let my Clerk know.
                            Okay. Let's go ahead with the criminal calendar,
MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                                 19
            07cqshaMS
            please.
  1
2
3
                            MR. SERBAGI: Can I make one point, quick point, with
            your Honor?
           THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SERBAGI: We do have scheduled today the final
30(b)(6) deposition of CMG, just for the Court's information.

Mr. Slotnick, if this is his issue, should have had this here
   4
   5
6
7
8
            today.
   9
                             THE COURT: You both got a lot of lawyers working with
                      Get somebody else to do one or the other. Right now,
 10
            you.
 11
            call down there and see if you can get it.
                             what time is that deposition set for?
 12
            MR. SERBAGI: It's set for 10:00, your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, if somebody in your office has a
copy and they can fax it up here, I'll permit -- I'll take a
fax for that limited purpose, even though I don't usually do
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
            that.
            It's incredible to me that you guys would come in here to argue this issue and nobody has a copy of the notice.
 18
 19
                             In any event, see if you can get it. If you can, the
```

Page 9

25

```
07cashaMS.txt
          Clerk will give you the fax number, and I'll have it faxed up.
21
22
23
24
                         Okay. Second call.
                         (Recess)
                         THE DEPUTY CLERK: Your Honor, in the matter of the
                                      MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
                                                    (914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                   20
          07cqshams
          Shaw Family, et al. against CMG Worldwide, et al.
Second call.
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
          THE COURT: Okay. I understand from my Clerk that neither of you gentlemen, because of the holiday situation, were able to get anybody in your office who could obtain a copy of the 20(5) (5)
          of the 30(b)(6) deposition. So there is not much I can do
          about that.
                         All right. The deposition of -- the renewed
          deposition of Mělissa Stevens will take place, as I've
          indicated, tomorrow.
          where did you want to do that?

MR. SLOTNICK: We'll do it at our office.

THE COURT: Okay. The only problem -- the only reason

I'm hesitating -- ordinarily, I'd say that's strictly a matter
11
12
13
14
          within, you know, the purview of you gentlemen -- is that I'm
15
          sure there are going to be further problems. So make sure your court reporter is prepared to leave your offices and get up here, because if there are any disputes at all, I'm not going to do them over the phone. You're going to have to up, and you'll resume your deposition here in the deposition room
16
17
18
19
 20
          downstairs.
                          MR. SLOTNICK: Your Honor, I'm certainly prepared to
           take the deposition here.
                          THE COURT: What do you want to do, Mr. Serbagi?
                         MR. SERBAGI: That's fine with us, your Honor.
 25
                                       MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
                                                                                                                    21
           07cqshaMS
                      THE COURT: All right. Why don't you convene here The boxes -- the only trouble with that is going to be,
  12345678
          I think the document review should take place -- I think the document review should take place at your offices, because that's where the documents would be. And then if there is some
          dispute about the relevancy of the documents, you can call me. Although what I'll tell you is, you're going to bring them up
           here.
          MR. SERBAGI: I'm sorry. When the Court said what do you want to do? I thought you meant if there is a problem. We would prefer to have the deposition in New York, your Honor; and if there is a problem, come up here, but --
THE COURT: All right. That's fine. I have no
  9
 10
 13
           problem with that. Except I think it ought to be at your
 14
 15
           office, since you have the documents.
 16
                          MR. SERBAGI: That's fine.
           THE COURT: That way, if documents -- you know, if there is some issue about them, the documents are going to be
 17
 18
 19
           there and be available.
 20
                          You've only got until December 31st to do all this,
 21
22
23
           and I will not be here on December 31st. So that means
           whatever needs to be done is going to be done between now and
           Friday.
           MR. SLOTNICK: Your Honor, just as a logistical issue, you've indicated we can examine the documents, but not copy
 24
```

Page 10

## 07cqshaMS.txt MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027

22

23

```
07cqshaMS
                    Obviously, for the purpose of taking the deposition --
THE COURT: You can make notes on them. And you can
         them.
 1234567
         mark with a post-it any document you think is relevant to the
         deposition so that it can be immediately available. But no
         copying, and you're not going to take anything with you. Okay?

MR. SERBAGI: Just one final request, your Honor,
         which I made in my letter motion.

The deposition of Anna Strassberg, the parties have consented, because of scheduling difficulties, to take her on January 4th, if that's acceptable to the Court. It's after the discovery deadline.
 9
10
11
          THE COURT: Yes, it is. All right. Since both sides have agreed on that, I'll permit that. But that is a
12
13
          so-ordered date, and may not be changed without on order of the
14
          Court. The witness is directed to be available and to appear
15
16
17
          on that day.
                         Anything else?
          MR. SLOTNICK: Your Honor, does your Honor want us to come back at two o'clock with respect to the --
THE COURT: No, I don't think it's necessary at this point. If there are any further issues, I'll deal with them
18
19
20
21
22
          when the disputes come up. But as far as the claim that the 30(b)(6) witness did not -- was not prepared to testify as
23
          appropriate, that claim is gone.
24
                         Without the 30(b)(6) notice, I'm not in a position to
25
                                       MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR
(914) 390-4027
          07cqshaMS
          rule on it.
  1
```

rule on it. So the application is denied.

Okay. Thank you very much.

You will not disappoint me if there are no problems and you can't come up. Don't get me wrong.

AIII

All right. Thank you.

MARY M. STATEN, CSR, RPR, RMR (914) 390-4027

3

11 12 13